

**REMARKS**

Applicant herein amends claims 10 and 16. No new matter has been added.

Applicant herein cancels claims 12, 14 and 17 without prejudice or disclaimer.

With regard to the rejection of claims 10, 16 and 18-24 under 35 U.S.C. § 103 over Bilgic (U.S. Pat. No. 5,884,148) in view of Sandler et al. (U.S. Pat. No. 5,983,117; hereinafter “Sandler”), Applicant agrees with the Examiner in that Bilgic “does not expressly disclose sending each dialed digit to the base station.” The Examiner asserts, however, that Sandler discloses this feature. Applicant respectfully traverses the rejection of claims 10, 16 and 18-24.

Claim 10, as amended, recites, *inter alia*, “after the transmission of all the stored dialing signals to said base station control station has been completed, transmitting each dialing signal to said base station control station each time a dialing signal is produced.” Claim 16 recites similar features. Claims 18-24 incorporate the subject matter of claim 10 since they depend on claim 10. Thus, claims 10, 16 and 18-24 all require that each dialing signal be transmitted to the base station control station each time a dialing signal is produced, after the transmission of all the stored dialing signals to said base station control station has been completed.

Sandler however, discloses a system and method wherein “the buffered digits will be sent over the radio link, and any subsequently dialed digits will be sent when dialed.” See Sandler, col. 13, lines 55-57.

In other words, under Sandler, subsequently dialed digits, i.e., a plurality of digits, are sent in a lump sum, not on a digit-by-digit basis. As such, Sandler fails to expressly teach or suggest transmitting dialed signals to the base station control station each time a dialing signal is

produced as the claims require. Therefore, neither Bilgic nor Sandler teaches or suggests the unique combination of elements required by claims 10, 16 and 18-24. Accordingly, Applicant submits that claims 10, 16 and 18-24 are patentable over Bilgic in view of Sandler, at least for the reasons stated above.


In view of the above, reconsideration and allowance of this application are now believed to be in order, and such actions are hereby solicited. If any points remain in issue which the Examiner feels may be best resolved through a personal or telephone interview, the Examiner is kindly requested to contact the undersigned at the telephone number listed below.

The USPTO is directed and authorized to charge all required fees, except for the Issue Fee and the Publication Fee, to Deposit Account No. 19-4880. Please also credit any overpayments to said Deposit Account.

SUGHRUE MION, PLLC  
Telephone: (202) 293-7060  
Facsimile: (202) 293-7860

WASHINGTON OFFICE  
**23373**  
CUSTOMER NUMBER

Respectfully submitted,

  
Howard L. Bernstein  
Registration No. 25,665

Date: January 6, 2006